G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1895

~~191~~ .

(L R)

Later references.

File No. 2306 1895

Serial Nos. 1-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Aug 97.

SUBJECT.

Allotment of funds for the construction of irrigation wells.

Commissioner's file

W D 23—GPE—50,000—21-7-16

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

‡ **Subject.**

Letter no: 228, 9-7-02, from the Dy: Comr: Tumkur &c, re the peculiar circumstances under which S. no: 24/1 transferred to the Madras Govt: was sold by the Pavagada Amildar for Revenue arrears.

* **Note.**

In the Vimpasamudra village, Pavagada Taluk, S. no: 24/1 (wet, assessed at Rs 5-12-0) was in the Khata of one Komati Sanjeeva. It was one of the 8 lands required by the Madras Govt for the up-Keep of Narasapuram Channel of the Hindupur Taluk. under Govt: Ro: no: 6993 & 3-2-97, it was directed to be acquired under L. A. Regln: the panchayetdars assessed the value of the land at 300 Rs an acre. This mahazar was drawn in the presence of Amildar H. R. Srinivasa Rao. the Patel Ramalingayya,

(Sl 18).

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

~~SC. 601~~
B. 46

~~is~~ not accepted as
113 (189)
guide ~~Satisfactory~~
I am to add that a
further communication
will be addressed to
you as regards the
transfer of the 3 additional
fields referred to, on
receipt of a ~~further~~
report ~~called for~~
from the Dy. Comr.
of Tumkur who has
been directed to make
over (without delay)
the fields in question
to the Collector of
Anantapur.

I have &c.

13/6/01 Secretary.

copied 22/6/01

No. 10174 / R.7.87-95 § 24/6/01 24

987 Copy with
complaint
intimation
I do not
mistake
and whether
permitted
his office
that the
concerned
warned
careful

✓Serial No 13 To the T.A. Resident in Mysore - No 9409 / R.-87-95 D 30-.

States that with refce to S.L. No 10, the lands still remain occupancy of the Ryots; forwards the statement giving particulars of the amount of compensation payable for occupied lands, etc, and adds, whether all the earth as for the repair of the small length of the channel can be from Govt waste lands Nos 14 & 25, it would not be adv for the Madras Govt to acquire only these two small disheart of throwing out of cultivation such a large as nearly 18 acres at a heavy cost of Rs 5,237-13-0.

Sl. No 14 From the T.A. Resident in Mysore No 588 dated 1

✓ States that the question of the acquisition of certain lan of the Veribasamudram Village is still under the consid of the Madras Govt.

✓SC No 15- From the Same - No 2143 / C91-96 D 17-5-1899.

States that the subject of the acquisition of the lands is at present under investigation of the Madras Govt

✓Sl. No 16. From the Same - No 1497 / C91-96 D. 28-5-1900

Invites refce to the above, and asks for the transfer of fields in question to the Collector of Anantapur; and observ that the acquisition when completed will extinguish all rights of the Mysore ryots to irrigation from the Nara Sapura Channel

✓Sl No 17. To the Dy Comr Cuddapah No. No 1367 / R87-95 D 14-4-1900

With refce to S.L. No 12, forwards copy of the above letter No 9409 D. 30- (S.L. No 13) and his reply thereto No 1497 D 28-3-1900 (Sl 16), and requests that if there are no other lands in Mysore which are being irrigated or are entitled to be irrigated from the Anantapur a Channel, and the five fields be pro tra to the Collector of Anantapur and the same intimated Office, and a full report should be submitted, if question of irrigation requires further consideration

Sambaj Lakshmmanappa, + one Hanmappa have attested ‖ this mahazar dated 1/6/97. the requisition having been completed pending the question of compensation, the Huildar of Paragada wrote to the Collector of Anantapur ‖ (This is a mistake - read serial 24) on 24.12.97 that he had requested the Thasildar of Hindupur to take over charge of the lands. But the British authorities not having moved in the matter till about October 1900, the lands continued to remain in the possession of the former occupants as a matter of course. These lands were made over to the Madras Govt: on 20.10.1900.

1.6.97.

22.24 D

24.11.97.

E 20.10.1900.

2. In the meantime, Sanjiva having failed to pay the Kandayam for Vilambi, 1898-99, on L. No: 24/1, the usual notice of demand was issued on 26.2.99 + served on the defaulter on 7.3.99. Order of forfeiture

settled for the land in question to be held in deposit pending the orders of Govt.

6. The Dy: Coll^r in calling for an explanation of the Taluk officials responsible for setting a low value on the land previously estimated at Rs 660/; observed that if they had set forth in the sale records the real value of the land of which they were fully aware, the Amildar would have been, instead of the Shekdar, the competent person to hold the sale and that he would have then come to know the real State of affairs and would have purchased it for Govt.

21.8.01. 7. Before Amildar & Viraraghava naidu the Patel Ramalingayya deposed that he ought to have reported the real value of the land to Govt: but that he did not do so by reason of press of Revenue work in June 1899; the Sanbog deposed that he knew the value of the previous estimate but that he did not know

that it should have been reported to the Amildar at the time of auction sale; the Shekdar deposed that his services began there only on 2.2.98 subsequent to the date of mahazir, & that he did not know at the time of auction sale that that land was so valuated at any time before.

8. The Amildar therefore reported to the Asstt: Collr: that it was entirely the fault of the Saubag & the patel who might be dismissed from Service or placed under long Suspension; that the other Rev: officials could not be blamed seeing that it was not possible to estimate the value of the land merely by the sale proceedings. They gave it as their opinion that the sale might be cancelled; that the petitioner was not entitled to the amount of Compensation Settled before & that the amount of Sale proceeds, viz, Rs 2-4-0 might be refunded to the applicant who had, without expending any money upon the land, enjoyed it since the time of Sale.

money with interest &
& Costs if any, incurred
submitted to the
Secretary.

M
22/9/02

the unpaid Compensation
should go back to the
Madras Govt

M
22/9/02

I agree with the above view
except the last sentence. If the
land has been given over to the
Madras Govt, we must get the
proper value. If the sale is ~~Land~~
set aside on the ground of fraud
& deliberate undervaluation, should
we get the proper value (either Govt
an acre or less) for the Govt
Govt? If we are entitled only
to the Capitalised value of the
assessment, the difference should
go to the previous owner after

Payment of any arrears due to us. May the D.C. be asked to submit a further report on this matter — what the arrears due here, and who would be entitled to the residue?

4/10/1902

To the Dewan through the 1st Councillor.

25/10/02
521

Please see typewritten remarks of the 1st Councillor herewith, but ask.

C.G.K.
9/10/02

It appears here is the case of the original defaulter. One of the two courses must be adopted now. If the land was undervalued intentionally for purposes of revenue sale then it must be ascertained whether there was no element of fraud in it and the sale upheld or cancelled in accordance with the decision which may be arrived at. If on the other hand it is held that the valuation was not fraudulent and that the valuation for the acquisition purposes excessive it seems to me that what is a fair amount of compensation must be paid to Narayani and the balance returned to the Madras Govt. unless any portion of it is was intended to be paid to the Mysore State for loss of revenue. The Amildar has shown great culpability and his conduct deserves the severe notice of Govt. Under all these circs the case in my

Question deserves fuller investigation in the New Light and - it maybe possible that the case will have to be taken up by the Hearing Committee of the Council on the Revision side - I have no objection to make further investigation in to this matter by the Asst Comsr and if it is agreeable to the Govt Govt an order to that effect may be made - that we should have been made known as are from the Madras Govts an acre for 1 fowrat, for one can hardly maintain at less than 2 Rupees, an acre is nothing short of a Scandal for which the officers responsible must be brought to book.

22.II.03

To the 1st Councillor
24/2

I have already given my opinion on this reference.

R: 2/3/03
Chingleput
924

8855
d. 9.3.03

To the Secretary

6/3/03

03568
To Council - It will be useful if the II Councillor will also record his view

3.VIII.03

Circulate
5/8/3

Note.

I understand the case to be that subsequent to the fixing of compensation and before the completion of acquisition, the land in question changed hands. The transferee (by a revenue sale) cannot claim the same amount of compensation as had been fixed with the consent of his predecessor in occupancy. The land has now to be acquired for the Madras Government from its present owner under the Land Acquisition Regulation. If out of the ~~payment~~ amount received any balance should remain, it should be refunded to the Madras Government. In this view it seems unnecessary at this stage to go into the question of fraud. A was the owner. He agreed to receive Rs.600/- and give up the land. Before he could be bought out, there was a revenue sale at which B became the purchaser. And now we have to acquire the land from B. The machinery of the Land Acquisition Regulation should, I think, be put in motion in B's case. B cannot claim the 600 Rupees which A had agreed to receive as compensation. I may be permitted to add that for the difficulty which has arisen in the present case, the local authorities ~~have been~~ are accountable and not the Revenue rules.

The land has been acquired and handed over

To the Dewan.

12258/17.8.03

17/8/3

C. Ramiasingar 14.8.03

and the revenue sale might have
been a normal transaction. It
was seemed of fraud, but was
gaben on the bench has been deemed
unnecessary by both the Councillors
Mr Patel & the Chairman are greatly
at fault - and Mr Sheldon Sne-
vas also is not less so - The
Dy Coll who reported the matter
says in his letter casually that the
fact of the premises valuation was
suppressed by the village officials
who undervalued the same" This
fact he does not even whisper
but fairly misinterpreted. He does
not give his own opinion of the
correct valuation although he was on
the spot. Under the circumstances I
that the new Coll might investigate
the matter on the spot and give
as much of the correct price as he
could find to Narayani - This
however was not done, and we
have now to decide whether all
the money we have received from
the Madras for should be paid
to them - Our dealing with the Mad-
ras Govt should be scrupulously
clear - and when the local officials
have shown themselves unfriendly

worthy regard is the valuation and
where considerable difficulty has been
brought home to me it behoves this 1a
Govt to place the question of valuation
beyond all doubt and cavil, and I,
with that object in view, would
desirable that the new Court should
hurriedly investigate the matter locally
and arrive at a proper figure regarding
price - If he is of opinion that the land
is worth 66 and the money has to be
paid to Narayani - Adequate enquiry
of the conduct of the officials concerned
maybe resorted till, if my suggestion
is approved by this Govt, the new
Comm's report is received.

5. XII. 03

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Whether the revenue sale of a certain land in the Pavgada Taluk, held subsequent to its acquisition for being transferred to the Madras Government should not be cancelled on the ground of the sale proceeds (₹2-4-0) being less than the value originally set upon it (₹660) for purposes of acquisition, and how the amount of the compensation paid by the Madras Government for the land, should be disposed of.

1874

Order.

I will not add my Comments, as the facts of the Case are Sufficiently eloquent, I agree that a proper investigation of the value of the land should be made, by the Dept. Comm^r at a rate. It ought not to be necessary to trouble the Rev^e Comm^r unless he happens to be in the neighborhood. In the light of this Case I begin to understand the popularity of rule 35.! The Villagers Cannot be blamed for such Collusive Sales but the Shanbhogue ought to be heavily dropped on

~~KRM~~

10/12/03

Pass order accordingly

11.XII.03.

Sl. 18. From the Dy Comr Tumkur &c. No 864 dated 7.5.1900

With refce to S. No 17 Submits report on the particulars called for.

Sl 19. To the F.A. Resident in Mysore - No 8500 D 30.5.1900.

With refce to S. No 16, states that it is reported by the Dy Comr. that the acquisition of the five numbers referred to in this Office No 9405 D 30.3.98 (Sl No 13) will not completely extinguish all rights of the Mysore rijots to irrigation from the Narasapura Channel, and that the other lands depending upon the Channel should be acquired in the same way as that of the other lands above referred to, and requests that arrangements may be made for payment of compensation to the Durbar, and that steps will be taken to transfer the lands to the State Collector of Anantapur; and encloses also a Sketch showing the portion of the lands under adverlence of the Channel.

Sl. No 20. From the F.A. Resident in Mysore No 5025 D 1.10.1900
191 96

Forwards for compliance, copy of telegram from Madras Govt. stating that the Durbar may transfer the 5 fields of Kempasandra village, for Narasapuram Channel to the Sirsildar of Hindupur.

Sl No 21. To the Dy Comr Tumkur St. No 2915 dated 1.10.1900
R.7.87-95

Forwards copy of the above, in continuation of this Office No Telegram dated 15.9.1900 and requests that the five lands in question may be made over to the Tahsildar of Hindupur at once and that a report should be submitted to this Office at a very early date.

Sl. No 22. From the Dy Comr Tumkur St. No 468 dated 23.11.1900

States that the lands have been made over to the Tahsildar of Hindupur Taluk and requests early sanction to the payment of compensation to the owners of the lands.

Sl No 23. To the F.A. Resident in Mysore No 4462 D 7.12.00.
R.7.87-95

In reply to S. No 20, states that the Dy Comr Tumkur St. reports that the five lands in question were made over to the Tahsildar of the Hindupur Tk on the 20th October 1900, and requests that the Hon'ble the Resident may be moved to address

this Govt. in case these lands are required by the Durbar. The above calculation will have to be applied for the Durbar lands alluded to in the preceding paras. if they are required by the Madras Govt.

Submitted for orders.

WD
4/3/96

7. Office Mark letter in D.O book the accompanying D.O. to the Secretary of Industries & Agriculture with his reply when received. 6/3/96

8. Submitted.

The Commissioner now reports with reference to the Under Secretary's D.O. of the 6th instant, that the lands referred to have not as yet been made over to the Collector of Anantapur.

Subdt Sect 18/3/96

WD 17/3/96.

vide D.O. § 14-3-96.

The Dewan has explained to me that two Dep^{r}. Comm^{rs} have already dealt with the Valuation of the land. Under these Circumstances & Considering further the interest of the Madras Gov^{t}. in the Case I agree that the Rev^{e}. Comm^{r}. should be requested to finally dispose of the question in person on the Spot.

~~KRW~~

14/12/03

Proceed accordingly

15. XII. 03

Serial No.

22

approved of by experienced officers such as the Deputy Commr and Asst. Commissioners, should, in all fairness be respected; and that the price of lands realized by sales for arrears of Revenue is not generally the market value.

May 7. The Deputy Commr in his report to the Revl. Commr states that in accordance with the opinion formed by the local Punchayetdars Sanjeeviah deposed before the Amildar on 1.6.1894 that he was ready to part with the land in question, then ~~made~~ in his possession, at a price of Rs 300 per acre for the use of the Madras Government, and that there was thus a formal Contract with Sanjeevaiah that day. He further reports that

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

10

9. Subd.

The lands have not yet been taken possession of by the Collector of Amantapur. He has suggested a reference to the Board. Hence the decision. That reference may be awaited. Wooden sleepers now required

All right
Mud 18/3/96
21/3/96

A 24/3/96

Submitted.

10. Please see letter no: 2047 dt. 29 June 1896 from the Asst. to the Resident on the subject.

M
16/6/96

11. Application has now been received through the Residency

Serial No.

opinion that the assessment amount paid by the Madras Government, now in deposit, should in all fairness be handed over to Sanjeevaiah.

The present Amildar of Pamayada Mr. Venkatachar's account goes to show that the price of the same land varies at different times. Subd. 8/5.

29/10/04. The Rev Comm has asked (3/10) to make a local investigation but he does not seem to have proceeded to the spot. He has obtained a detailed report from the A/C Comm and another from the Amildar of the Taluk. The Amildar's report gives particulars as to the variations in price in different places and at different

Flag X

Flag Z

a/c +

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 87 of ~~190~~ 1895-6

Serial No.

seems to have been settled that there is no necessity for the interference of Court, in the exercise of its revisional jurisdiction, to cancel the sale dated 17th 18-9 the note. The land consisting of 2 acres 12 perches of wet land. The Mudaliar in his report gives 3 cases of sale from which he deduces the average price of land in the village to be about Rs 65-4-9 which he says exceeds the average rate for the whole Talook (Rs 48) by Rs 20-4-9 Summapatta is said to have a superior soil & the highest average rate there is said to be Rs 88 per acre. There is also the ~~two~~ element of the land having been cultivated later, which might add a little to the price. If these figures are to be accepted, then it would appear that the Commissioner's amount received

No.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

under the Land Acqn Act ... of ---
as in force in Mysore —

A careful reply shd be sent to the above effect. ~~and if so~~? The reply shd in addition say by Court
Settlement under the act is likely to be necessary under the circs explained in para 2 of the Dy Comrs letter [Enclosed Enclosure]

also. Rectifn of frontier wh in view change of Sovereignty, which the Darbar hope is not ~~meant~~ intended and it is ~~and~~ that the transfer for occupn on the terms above proposed (which are the same as those accepted by the Darbar in the Case of the Bugadur lands) will ~~it is hoped~~ fully meet the reqs of the Madras Govt.

Also. That the Darbar are taking proceedings under the L A Act. to acquire the lands reqd by the Madras Govt & will complete them on receipt of a reply as to the decision of the Madras Govt

13/12/96.

[illegible] act
[illegible] are
[illegible] a
[illegible] purpose,
[illegible] the transfer
[illegible] Govt.
[illegible] has
[illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 87 of 190—1878

Serial No.

sole at this distance of time in the
ground of ground on the revisional side
appl. also to declare it void
on this ground. The various
three abuts ~~are not known~~ have also been discussed. The
Rev. Comm. does not state how
the envoy Rotel, Shanbogue & Shet
to should be dealt with. The Govt
(by H.E. Secretariat) has been
united. The points for orders are
(1) what part of the compensation
any should be paid to Narayan
& what should be done with the
balance
(2) the punishment to be awarded
to the envoy officials. Sd/ B Key
8/
1/11/82

The land in question was in the
holding of one Sangeeriya. The Inam
Govt granted it. Some time after
it was settled as compensation

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 87 of 190 1896-6

Serial No.	
	Please have done what is required by Govt. Associate to the Canara Collr for order.
	2.11.1904
1487/2.11.04	The Revenue reg[ister] has been delayed for nearly a year — and even then it is observed that the land has neither been inspected as ordered by Govt. He is requested to see to it that the land may be kindly inspected & then reported upon.
	6.XI.04
	Draft
	7.11.1904
	Gr[ant] Subt. Govt.
	Govt 9/11/04.
2	To the Rev. Commr in Mysore.

MGCPB—10,000—19-4-04

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 57 of 1905-06.

Serial No.

Report put up. The papers also were
displaced from the file for being kept in
the Proper Place.

Cy file (Cy) R.4.9. passed
G.P.
8/1/06. (Cy) Est 2
8.1.06

To the Rev: Com: in Mysore.

No. R 9342 of 10th Jan: 1906

Forwards the petition & requests him
to make a report after making a
personal inspection of the spot as
directed in G.O. No. R 4190/LR 87-95-55
of 25.11.1904.

From the Rev: Com:

No. 7813 of 1.3.06.

Requests that the complete file of papers
available in the Secretariat on the subject
may be forwarded to him early for
reference.

The Rev: Com: states that there are
only 2 points requiring attention.
(1) Irregularities if any on the
part of the officers & the village ser-
vants. & (2) Ascertainment of the
amount of compensation to the
party entitled to receive it.

As regards the 1st point, he says
that it may be dealt with later on.

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

As regards the 2nd point, the Rev. Com is of opinion that the amount of compensation, having been fixed under the Land Acquisition Regulation, may be ordered to be paid to Narayani who was in possession of the land as the legal purchaser when it was taken & handed over to Collectors Govt. If this view of the case is approved, then the previous instruction of the Rev. Com as ordered in G.O. referred to above, seems to be unnecessary.

Y.N. 9/3/06

Submitted for orders.

9/3/06

Even now we have no information as to the exact value of the land. Technically no doubt the person in possession at the time the land was taken over is entitled to the compensation and in this view no doubt Narayani is entitled to it. But the valuation of the land & everything else connected with the acquisition except the formal delivery of possession

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

G.R.S. 12J
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 87 of 1905 F.1505

Serial No.

The Land Sanction was granted by the Madras Govt. to settle the ~~[illegible]~~ compensation ~~[illegible]~~ Devidanga in same Taluq Govt. at ~~[illegible]~~ A very small last amount - part of the time the sum was received the land had been sold for arrears of Revenue & purchased by one Narayani for Rs. Then a question arose as further to look for the sum of Rs. receive from the Madras Govt. to be paid to Narayani. Thereupon the Hon. Sir Raja was asked to see the file & report. He observed that there is no use in going on the file as compensation has been settled as per order & the Government

11785/12/8/06

12-3-1906

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

6/12/3

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 87 of 1905/06

29

Serial No.

Whether Six hundred Rs is proper or not from the Rent Court and has already directed Tahsildar with the matter as to ascertain the same and report whether all the matter should have dragged on so long without proper settlement

Draft accd 13.3.06

15.3.1906

15/3/06

§ 15-13

Draft sent today

16.3.06 16/3/06

§1613 §1613

Posted 16.3.1906

Shs

To the Rent Court in Memo dt 21.3.06 stating that pending the settlement of compensation the Court are not disposed to adjust the dispute of ascertaining whether the limited rights is proper or not from the Rent Court & requests him therefore to deal with this matter as he may

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

Serial No.

and 21 pieces was required by the Railway for [illegible] along with some other land for the widening of the Jacquemen channel in the Kidderpore Docks and was acquired in the year 1897. But it was not made over to the Railway for till the 1st April 1900 as they had not applied for it but continued in possession of the Khalasis. At the time of the acquisition it was valued at the rate of 300 Rupees. As the Lungyee had not been paid for the year 1904-05 it was forfeited and sold to one Ramayan for the upset price of Rs 2-4-0 Upon paying the amount of compensation to the Lungyee Ramayan came into conflict with the Khalasis of whom there were more than 600 in number who had purchased the land for only Rs 2-4-0 and refused to give up the same for the railway [illegible] for the purposes of the revenue sale the land was

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LR File No. 57 of 1907

Serial No.

the Compensation is a Complete
Measure & when further
with the Rubber whether the
land has further value at the
time of the acquisition and
that and the right acquired
by Lease and to value of his
purchase at the reserve
rate. The Rev Commr
recommend that they
be accepted

Dewan
17/6/08

7738
23/6/08

Dewan
20/6/08

21/6/08

Secretariat
4/7/1908

Issue orders accordingly

CBS
5/7

6/7/08

9/7/08

Sent for directed the
terms originally approved
will be issued

CC
6/7/08

Mr Rajah Tupi
&
Mr Rao

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

No 410
File.

L.R. No 39 of 95-96
Deputy Commissioners Office
Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 15th October 1901.

From

B. L. Krishnaiengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To

H. V. Nanjundaiya Esquire. B.A. & M.L.
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore.

L.R. 39
29-95

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in the Veerapasamudram Village, Pavagada Taluk, survey No: 24/1 ext A 2. 2, assessed at Rs 5-12-a was in the Khata of one Komari Sanjeeva son of Chidambaraj It was one of the eight survey nos. of the same village required by the Madras Government for the upkeep of the Narasapuram channel of the Hindooper Taluk of the Anantapoor collectorate, and accordingly a declaration under Mysore Land Acquisation Regulation for its acquisition for the above purpose was published, Vide Government Notification no: 6993 / RE 87-95 dated 3rd February 1897 in the Mysore Gazette of the 11th idem. The panchayatdars assessed the value of the land at Rs 30-0 per acre. The Amtbegne Lakshminarasaiya and patel Ramalingaiya and one Hanumaiya of

Ext. 15-Sec A.

P.S. Please find below (27) a Draft
Precis of other cases somewhat
analogous to this.

13/12/96

Have carefully
considered all the papers
& previous correspondence
re proposed purchase
of Draft. We discussed
will do.

2/12/97

For the reply to the
Please See office Note
from para 22.

To
Mr Kirkcaldy

Sealed with
cover of
relating
Books

Rec'd 6 P.
14/12/97

enquiring whether the Coorg authorities would assent to give up the land in Coorg on which the alignment of the proposed road would lie, the Commissioner of Coorg stated that the proposed road wld. not traverse any private land for which compensation would be needed, & that the Dy. Comr. Hassan, might have the line marked out in concert with the Coorg officers who would be instructed to do the needful in the matter. vide no: $\frac{384}{207}$ of 31-5-88.

(57)

In September 1892, the Secy. to the Chief Comr. of Coorg was requested to obtain the formal sanction of Govt. to the transfer of the land in the Coorg territory on which the alignment of the road would lie, & he replied in October '92 that there appeared to be no necessity for the transfer to the Mysore State of the land in Coorg on which the alignment would lie, but that the Mysore P.W.D. would be allowed such free access to that portion of the proposed alignment which lay within Coorg, both for the purpose of its construction & repair, on the distinct understanding that beyond allowing the free use of the land required, the authorities in Coorg would not be called upon to maintain the road

Q1692 1901-1902

From The Dy Commissioner
Kadapa District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Genl & Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Dated 19th October 1901
Despatched
Received

General No. 415
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Reporting for the orders of Govt the peculiar circumstances under which Survey no 24 in the Kirikpasandra village forming a portion of the lands required by the Madras Govt for the up-Keep of the Narasapuram Channel of the Hindupur Valley was sold for arrears of Land Revenue for Fasli 1310 to one Konati Narayani

Rec.
30.X.01

Orders
Put up

attended
on 15/11/1901

itbest to report the circumstances of the case for the orders of Government.

5. The vernacular records of the case ending with 1st Assistant Commissioner's Yadi No: 119 dated 27-8-1907 and Pavagada Amildar's No: 28 of 24-7-1907 are submitted herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

For Deputy Commissioner

Rel.

AR 87 of 95-4

190 81-99

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPT

To

57

The Deputy Commissioner
of Tumkur

LR
29-95-100

Dated 29-11-01

Despatched

Received

~~General~~ No. R 2312

AR No. 87-95

Enclosure No

1

His letter No. 410 dated 19th October 1901, reporting the Circumstances under which S. No. 24 of Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, acquired & made over by the Madras Govt., was sold for arrears of revenue thereon.

With reference to the above, has the honour to request that the Collector may be informed whether the sale in question has been confirmed by the Madras Government & if so, when the sale-proceeds were remitted to this office, for reference at an early date.

By order

28/11/01

for Secy.

Rec'd 29-11-01

Lr 1995-96
Sl no 42

(6)

190

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS

To 60
The Deputy Commr.
Tumkur

LR 2995-M2

Dated 18. 12. 01.
Despatched 18 Dec 1901
Received
General No. R 2706
R.T. No. 87-95

Enclosure No

His letter no. 557 dated 3rd Dec.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that with his Commrs letter no. 410 dated 19th October 1901 only one file, which is herewith returned, of Vernacular papers was received and it ended with the Pervigada Amildar's letter no. 28/57 dated 24th July 1901. This file contains neither the records relating to the Revenue sale of S. no. 24 of the Virupasandra Village & Hemanthanagani, nor the Vernacular records ending with the 18th Oct. Commrs. yadi no. 119 dated 27th August 1901.

It is requested that complete records including the papers connected with the sale of S. no. 24 referred to may be sent to this office at an early date.

By order
17/12/01
for Secy.

MGCPB—7-3-01—2000

LR 27-735
Sl. 43

Submitted
1) Two Rupees Stamp
Petition (Suffts)
2) out of time by one month and 5 days
Petn to be returned for explanation of the delay
18.12.01
Inst or petn
19/12

Issued
2nd 2-12 51 c
3) Submitted petn 20-12-01 delay since explained as due to the receipt of Deeds long after the issue date
17-1-02

The petr buys a land in a revenue sale for Rs 2-4-0 & then asks for compensation of Rs 660 for the land which has been assessed by the Manager for Tank. The D Col says Steps are being taken to cancel the sale & has refused to give the petr compensation. As the cancellation of sale is not intimated to him, with the refusal of compensation, the petr may be asked to wait till the sale has been cancelled & then if appeal of no use

18/1/02

LR 95-W3 1901

Appl. No. 1746

Name of Petitioner K. Naranappa Konati

Village Virupasamudra Kannagalamant

Taluk Penugonda

District Tumkur.

Date of Arzi 29 } 11 — 1901

Date of Receipt 30 }

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one.

ABSTRACT

Petr appeals against the order Rs 30 & 25-7-0 of the Dy Comr Tumkur District, which is as follows:

"Examined the people petitioner's report made on 24-7-01 by Amildar of Penugonda. regarding the grant of compensation. Compensation at the rate 300 Rs per acre for the land you brought in the auction was settled by the villagers before Revenue 1879. When the valuable land was sold on the 28th May 1899 for Revenue arrears of the year 24 Vilambi [illegible] 2-4-0 [illegible] for the Sheristadar to send the land was valued at Rs 2/- enable the Shekdar to send the sale and the land was

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

Shekdar at
the Auction Sale. If the fees of
the large compensation having been
settled were made known. The
Amildar himself ought to have conducted
the Sale according to Rules. It
is found out that the auction
sale proceedings have been conducted
by clerk. Proceedings are going
on to cancel the sale. There seem
to be no reason therefore to give
the Rs compensation amount to you
which was settled before the land
was put into your possession.
The blank paper with stamp
produced with your petition returned."

Grounds of appeal are as follows:—
Lease No 24 in the village of Virapa-
endra which was sold in auction
for arrears of revenue was bought
by the petitioner whose name path
the land was recorded and the land
was in the enjoyment of the petr.
e land was acquired by the
Govt for which Govt and
compensation of Rs 680—
in the land was sanctioned
he petr. consented to take
the amount settled and there
land was handed over to
the Govt.

ہے کہ ہم نے اس کے ساتھ کیا کیا ہے۔ اس کے بعد

Two Rupees
Stamp affixed
Registered Receipt

ਉਕਤ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੇ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ ਨਾਲ ਕੁੱਲ 2,47,000 ਰੁਪਏ ਦੀ ਠੱਗੀ ਮਾਰੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 23 ਮਿਤੀ 12.02.2019 ਅ/ਧ 420 ਭਾ.ਦ.ਸ. ਥਾਣਾ ਸਦਰ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਦੌਰਾਨੇ ਤਫ਼ਤੀਸ਼ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੂੰ ਗ੍ਰਿਫ਼ਤਾਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਉਸ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਵਾਰਦਾਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਰਤੀ ਗਈ ਇੱਕ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਬਰਾਮਦ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਵੀ ਕਈ ਹੋਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆਂ ਨਾਲ ਠੱਗੀ ਮਾਰੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ਼ ਥਾਣਾ ਸਦਰ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਹੀ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 99 ਮਿਤੀ 22.04.2019 ਅ/ਧ 420 ਭਾ.ਦ.ਸ. ਵੀ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਹੈ। ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਜ ਮਿਤੀ 24.4.19 ਨੂੰ ਦੌਰਾਨੇ ਗਸ਼ਤ ਗ੍ਰਿਫ਼ਤਾਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਉਸ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਵਾਰਦਾਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਰਤੀ ਗਈ ਇੱਕ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਬਰਾਮਦ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ਼ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 36 ਮਿਤੀ 24.4.19 ਅ/ਧ 379 ਭਾ.ਦ.ਸ. ਥਾਣਾ ਸਦਰ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੂੰ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕਰਕੇ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਰਿਮਾਂਡ ਲਿਆ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ।

ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਅਤੇ ਅਹੁਦਾ

ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੇ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਜਾਂ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ ਅੰਗੂਠਾ

ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੇ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਜਾਂ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ ਅੰਗੂਠਾ

ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਅਤੇ ਅਹੁਦਾ

Dy. Commissioner

No. 7 - Dated [illegible]

To,

The Collector, [illegible]

Subject: [illegible]

Sir,

[illegible] I am directed to forward herewith [illegible] for [illegible] and to request that [illegible] may be [illegible] and [illegible] may be [illegible] to this office at the earliest.

[illegible] Yours faithfully,

[illegible]

Reference
15.1.02

1746/1901-02 Encl 2

17-1-02 noted
vide folio 1746 contents

17-1-02

LR 177 of 1900-01.

Remarks 1902 1/1

No. 2027

Name of Petitioner Varnappa

Village Virupa Samudragam

Taluk Davangere

District Chitaldrug

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 8/1/02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

ABSTRACT

With reference to the
Office endorsement
No 1746 dt 19-12-01, stating
that he received the enclo-
sures together with the ~~con~~ enclo-
sures on or about the 20th
of Nov.
that he was not doing
well at the time
that he does not know
to read & write
that he believes that
3 months' time, after the receipt of the enclo-
allowed to present the affi-
davit after he recovered
he came to Bangalore
on 28-11-01 & for his petition

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

next ~~[illegible]~~ when he
proceeded to the table in
the usual manner, he
was told to produce
the enclosures
& accordingly he
proceeded to the table
with the enclosures and
prays that on perusal
of the above, his request
may be complied with

Att'd
11/1/02

Remainder

1902-03

G.O. No. — Dy 29 1903 — R No 20824

No. 1527 10-

Name of Petitioner. Karkal Karanappa

Village Vimpasanda

Taluk Paraganda

District Cuddapah

Date of Arzi 10 -11-02

Date of Receipt 11

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two.

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his petn. of 30-11-01 complaining against the Sp. Comrs. order refusing him to pay the compensation amount Rs. 660-00 sanctioned for the Cash S. No 216 in the village, which was D.C. Comm. acquired by the Govt. for the Madras Govt.

Re petr. states that the S. No. 216, purchased by him is a Revenue sale

MGCPB—4,000—31-1-02

84
21-11-02

1746 Spl-I-Dis-Rej-1560-1492
.02

25-11-02 R 327

Genl No 380/10 99-7-02 from
Dt Cuddapah letter No 22 with
relative papers transferred to the
Revenue Department

Rev. Dept on 21-7-02

AG
26-11-02

Two Rupee Stamp affd.

May this be transferred
to the Revenue Branch

Dis
28-11-02

Jlo
28/11/02

Sect
29/11/02

RT 87 of 95 on the subject was
Sent to the Collr on 4-12-02 with
Govt order by Govt No 2297-02
Collr enclosed records connected
with the sale of certain lands
in the Vimpasanda village
taken up by the Madras
Govt for the enfranchisement
of the Revenue Shrotriem
Rights per Dis Cycle
Number

Please receive
Valliyur

which was properly conducted and duly ~~sanctioned~~ confirmed, was acquired by the Then Hy. Court for the Madras Govt.; that a compensation of Rs. 660/- was sanctioned; that the present Pl. Court refuses to pay the amt. on the ground that the land in question was purchased by the Petr. for a exceedingly small amount.

Prays that the amt. of Rs 660/- may be ordered to be delivered to him.

Enclosures.

1 certified copy of the Sale list. of 23-5-99

1 Do. of the endt. of the Asst Court confirming the sale. of 27-6-99

Hyd, 20/11/02

ಈ ಕ್ರ- ವೈ ಚಾರ ಪತ್ರ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ - ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶದಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಕ್ವಾರಿ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ನೀಡಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ -

1) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಅವಧಿಯು ಮೊದಲ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ - ಅನಂತರ ಪ್ರತಿ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗೊಮ್ಮೆ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ನವೀಕರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು -

2) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಅವಧಿಯು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾದ ನಂತರ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ನವೀಕರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಯಾವುದೇ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೋರುವಂತಿಲ್ಲ -

3) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

4) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

5) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

6) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

7) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

8) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

9) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

10) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

11) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

12) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

13) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

14) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

15) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

16) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

17) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

18) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

19) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

20) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

21) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

22) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

23) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

24) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

25) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

26) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

27) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

28) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

29) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

30) ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಗಣಿಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾನೂನು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು -

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅವಶ್ಯಕ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ -

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 30-4-02.
ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ 2-6-02
ಅಂತ್ಯದ ದಿನಾಂಕ. 4-6-02
ಒಟ್ಟು ಕೆಲಸದ ದಿನ- 220
ಮಳೆಯಾದ ದಿನ- 0-4-6
ಇತರೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ- 0-0-9
0-5-3



Sir P.N. Krishnamurti, K.C.I.E.,

Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, M Y S O R E.

Most Respected Sir,

That the humble Memorialist was the holder of Survey No. 34/1 wet, measuring 2 acres and 21 guntas and assessed at Rs. 5-12-0 situated in the village of Irapasandra, Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District.

2. That the said land had along been in possession and enjoyment of the Memorialist's family; Memorialist's brother one, Sanjeevaiyah being the Registerdar.

3. That in 1898-99, the Registerdar having failed to pay the Government Assessment, the same was declared forfeited and sold by the Revenue Authorities on the 23rd May 1899 when the Memorialist bid and purchased the same for the arrears outstanding thereon.

4. That the land in question was a very valuable property and the villagers in the neighbourhood were well aware of such a fact, but they did not increase the bids as they were anxious that the family to which it was this only support should continue to retain it.

5. That in these circumstances the Memorialist came to be the Pattadar of the land in question.

6. That in the year 1897, before the Memorialist came to the possession of this land, it was proposed to be acquired for being made over to the Madras Government, along with some other lands .

7. That at that time i,e, in 1897 the value of the land in question was fixed at Rs. 660/ or Rs. 300/, per acre,

for the purpose of ~~the~~ compensation due to the owner.

8. That after the Memorialist came to the possession of this land, it was actually acquired and made over to the Madras Government on 20th October 1900.

9. That the Memorialist's prayer for compensation has received no response though he petitioned several times and the Revenue Commissioner having at last directed the petitioner to the Government, he ventures to lay his case before Your Honor for favorable consideration and orders.

10. That the question now to be decided in his case is, ~~his~~ whether he is entitled to the compensation fixed in 1897 when the land was valued at the request of the Madras Government, which compensation has been received from that Government and is now held in deposit in the Taluk, or whether he can only claim what he had paid for, or spent upon it.

11. That the Memorialist humbly begs to submit the following facts in support of his contention for the value of Rs. 600/ set upon the land for your Honor's consideration. They are:-

(a) That the land in question was the family property of the Memorialist as well as the original Registerdar

(b) That this land was the help and support of the whole family.

(c) That the Memorialist and the original Registerdar are cousin brothers.

(d) That it was a well known fact in the village that that the land in question had been valued at Rs. 300/

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition
Registered No. 746 dated 29-11-9
received at the Dewan's Office from Hon
Viranaika of Kirupasandra
village, Kanakpura Tk. against
the Court's order refusing to
grant compensation for Kha
2-4 of the said village which
has acquired by Govt.

is forwarded, together with its enc
enclosure, to the Deputy Commissione
of the Tumkur Distri
for a full report of the facts of the ca
and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosu
should be returned.

By order,

Asst. Secretary.

BANGALORE,
14/2/1896

14/2/1896

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Revenue Branch.

Sl. 54

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. (if any) and its date—

LR 29/95-51

R 1810 of 23-12-03

R. 7-877-95-6.

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter No 22 dy 9. 7. 1902 from the Dy Comr, Tumkur District.

Order:

Survey No 24/1 of the Virupa-
sandra village, Pavagada Tal
being one of the lands require
by the Madras Govt for the up
keep of the Narasapuram
channel in the Hindupur Tal
it was acquired in 1897 unde
the Land Acquisition Regul
and a compensation of
Rs 660 was fixed therefor.
~~But~~ The land was not
taken possession of by the
Madras Govt soon after
~~was acquired~~, It was allo
to remain in the possessi

its original holder, Sanjiva
o failed to pay the land revenue.
reon for 1898-99. To realise
: arrears, the land was
clared forfeited, valued at
12/: and finally sold for
: 2-4-0 to one Narayani,
nd the sale was also con-
irmed on 27. 6. 1899. Thus,
Narayani became the owner
the land and held possession [thereof]
ill October 1900, when it
as made over to the Madras
overnment. Narayani now
laims the compensation of
3660/: fixed for the land.

The case in question
isclosses serious irregularities
the part of the officers and
illage servants concerned, which
will be dealt with later on
the mean while, the Rev Com.
requested to personally
vestigate the matter on the
ot and ascertain what
e actual value of the land
which has been reduced on
wo occasions, ~~in quite different~~
ays. On receipt of the Rev:
ner's report, final orders
of

Tahsildar
and to state his opinion as
~~to his own Capacity, also~~
~~responsible for the irregu-~~
larities on the part of the native.

2814 /
14

L229-95-52

28

No. 3049

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,
Bangalore.....4th October.....1904

FROM

T. ANANDA ROW, ESQ., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No. R 1810/ R F 87 of 95-96 dated 23rd December 1903 calling for my opinion regarding the claim of one Narayani for compensation on account of land Survey No 24/1 of the Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, acquired by the Madras Government for the upkeep of the Narasapuram channel in the Hindupur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government letter No. 99 dated 6th September 1904 together with its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District giving a detailed report in the matter and to state as follows:-

2 The main point is as to whether the price of the land as originally fixed at so high a figure might be accepted as a correct valuation. As it would appear from the records, that the price was originally fixed by a competent Panchayat and the local Amildar Mr. H.R. Srinivasa Rao and the valuation was approved of by experienced officers, like the Deputy Commissioner Mr. M. Narain Rao and the Assistant Commissioners Mr. K.R. Srinivasiengar and Mr. C. Seshadri Iyengar, it seems to me that we must abide by it.

It is well known that the price which land fetches at sales for revenue arrears is very often not the market value.

3. I must also submit a statistical account of the Pavagada Taluk furnished at my request By Mr. H.S. Venkatachar the present Amildar of that Taluk. This account shows how the price of the same land varies at different times.

4. The enclosures to this letter may be kindly returned when done with.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner

Yes Tochan

Enclosure No. 2 to No. 99

1904-05

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur dist.

To The Revenue
Mr

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th
Received
General No.

THE OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
ING 203
14 SEP. 04
BANGALORE.
IN MYSORE

September
1904

No. 99
Enclosure No. Ver file

Refer
Clk.
14.9.04

Sub witness
9. 20/9/04

Tiptur 30 Aug. 3 July 04

Enclosure attached
report taken
re the correct valuation
of one Sri Appaji Gupta
Sundara Raja, Bangalore
-da Taluk.

or contribute anything
towards its upkeep.

(38)

No. 99
Rev. Vol. files

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 6th September 1904

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

T. Ananda Rao Esq. B.A.
Revenue Commissioner in
Mysore.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter No. 190 of the 7th July last and subsequent reminders of the 8th and 30th ultimo.

Survey No. 24 was acquired from Linjeeva, its owner, in the year 1897. The provisions of the said acquisition Regulation were not complied properly because Linjeeva and others agreed to give up their lands for the price that may be fixed by Nimchayatdars. Rupees 300/- an acre was fixed and agreed to by the ryots and by the Shanbhogs and by the Deputy Commissioner and the lands became available to be handed over to the Madras Government. But pending Correspondence and delivery, Linjeeva

plea. The Patidars said he did not recollect at the time that incident of the valuation by himself and his Panchas. The Speeches on both sides were heard on both the points. Their Conduct was throughout by no means satisfactory. If they did not remember the valuation they should have had at least an adequate notion of the value of lands in the village. Survey No 24 was valued at about Rupees 650/- but they gave it's value as Rupees 2/-! It is impossible to credit them, with so much simplicity, as to believe the idea that they did not gain something by this pious Dramani. The Sheikdar also deserves punishment. Although he knew nothing of the question of acquisition he should have had some idea of the value of lands thereabouts. If he had it not he was not fit for his post and stood in no great need of counsel.

Leaving for a moment that

2 all the Mysore lands situated on the eastern side of the Channel (63) by payment of the capitalized value of the land Revenue and Cess thereof, and to state that I have suggested to the Collector the desirability of his moving the Resident of Mysore in the matter, through the Madras Government.

2. A statement shewing the Extent and assessment of the lands required is enclosed for information.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M Narain Rao
Offg Deputy Commissioner

ER

Enclos 6 P. 204.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue 5
/ Land Revenue /
Dated 9th August 1895 No: 3958 Mis:

Read the following

(66)

× × ×

Order - dated 12th July 1895: No: 440 Revenue Miscellaneous. The Government sanctions the payment of Rupees 164 to the Mysore ryots as Compensation for the lands through which the channel flows and which it is now necessary to take possession of for executing repairs to the channel.

2. The proposal however, that this Government should hold these lands under the Mysore Government on payment annually of land revenue and cesses to the latter is inadmissible. The proper course seems to be to come to an arrangement with the Durbar for acquiring the lands absolutely on payment of the Capitalized value of the revenues derived from them by the Durbar, the matter being treated as a rectification of frontier. For this purpose however, it would be necessary to acquire not only the two fields through which the channel flows, but also all the lands belonging to Mysore on the British side of it and, before taking further action in the matter the Government direct the Board of Revenue to report whether the Extent of these lands is Considerable and approximately what Compensation would be payable therefor to the Durbar.

3. The Board is also requested to report whether

the sale of the land for arrears was correct, the Board and Collector had been assured in regard to the value for rental the Auditor from Kerry that a valuable land had been sold for a ridiculously small price. A fresh sale with a correct statement of value might have put the price to something nearer the value, and a good sum would have been available for payment to Limerick after paying another the arrear of Rates 5-12- and the sale and charges but instead Limerick became liable to pay the difference over the price at the Rates of 5-12-!

For reasons foregoing Limerick Corporation should be exempted and the Compensation received from the Dublin Government in regard of his land paid to them.

The Sale of Newcastle Records relating to the Castle

G.R.S. 28]

LR 29-95-54

File No. R 57 95-96
Serial No. ~~57~~ ~~48~~ 54

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

, the 15/11/ 1904

Date of issue 15/11/04

Signed by [illegible]

Read again :-

~~READ.~~

Govt. Proceedings No. K.S.D. R.T. 8-97 95-96, dt. 23.12.03, requesting the Rev. Comm^r to ascertain after ~~a~~ local inspection the actual value of survey No. 24/1 of the ~~ORDER THEREON. No.~~ Virupasandra village, in the Pavagada taluk, required by the Govt and to submit a report thereon.

2. Read letter No. 3049 of the 4th Oct^r 04, from

over

Sent to Mulbagal

SUBJECT

Requesting the Rev: Comm^r to personally inspect Survey No. 24/1 of Virupasandra village, Pavagada taluk, and submit a report on the facts of the case and the value of the land.

the Rev. Commr, forwarding ~~a detailed report with~~ the report of the Asst. Commrs of the Commissioners &c, and recommending that the valuation of the land approved of by ~~the experienced officers~~ the Dy. Commr and Asst. Commissioners may be received & order thereon :-

The Govt observe that the reply of the Rev. Commr has been delayed for nearly a ~~fff~~ year, and that even then the valuation had been personally inspected by & ordered by Govt. He is requested to lose no time to comply with the express directions of Govt that the land

1/4 R. 41 0 St
L. R. 87-95-57

(30) (29)

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

~~Sir~~ I am Kindly instructed and ~~I am~~ required to

7-11-1904

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

Secretary.

To,

The Revenue Commr.

Ootacamund

Recd 16/11/04

Typed

Cl. 16/11/04

Enl. 14/11/04 Srs

33

No. 7813

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE.

Bangalore..... 1st March ..... 1906.

LR 29557 5 March

FROM

T. ANANDA ROW, ESQ., B. A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To :

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your docket No. R 9543/ L R 87-95-55 dated 10th January 1906, forwarding a petition from Narnappa, regarding his claim for compensation for his land survey No. 24/1 of the Virupasandra village in the Pavagada Taluk acquired on behalf of and made over to the Madras Government &c., I have the honor to state that with your docket No. R 6247/ L R 87-95 dated 21st January 1905 only the vernacular papers bearing on the subject were returned, but not the other enclosures forwarded to you with my letter No. 3049 dated 4th October 1904. I therefore request that Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's letters Nos. 22 dated 7th July 1902 and No. 99 dated 6th September 1904 to the Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Departments, and the Revenue Commissioner respectively as also the complete file of papers available in the Secretariat on the subject may be kindly forwarded to me early for reference.

2. There are two points requiring attention in this matter, namely, (1) irregularities, if any, on the part of the officers and village servants and (2) disbursement of the amount of compensation fixed for land survey No. 24/1 to the party entitled to receive it.

3. As observed by Government in their Government order No. R 1310 dated 23rd December 1905 the first point may indeed be dealt with later on, when the convenience of Government may

1906.

From T. ANANDA ROW Esq., B. A.,
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

To *The Secretary to the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 1st

Despatched 5th March 1906

Received

No. 7812

Enclosure No. nil

Submits certain observations regarding the claim of one Narayani for compensation for land survey No, 24/1 of the Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, acquired for the Madras Government and requests the return of certain papers relating to the subject.

V. B. Subbaiah & Sons, Printers, Bangalore.—2,000-27-6-06.

G.R.S. 26]

(85)

File No. 8-1-6

Serial No 5

Diary No. of letter replied to 8716

LR 29-95-57

No. R 20 92

General and Rev. Secretariat
(L.R.)
the 190 .

To

The Rev. Commr in
Mysore,

Date of issue 21st March 06

Signed by

With reference to his letter
No 7812 dated the 8th March
1906, submitting certain
observations, regarding the
claim of one Nanjaiah for
compensation for damage by survey
to 2½ gts of Ranjanahalla
village in the Channapatna
Taluk acquired for the
Madras Govt, has the honour
to observe that the fact
that the Madras Govt
accepted the amount of
compensation fixed by this
Govt does not absolve
the latter of their liability

over.

SUBJECT.

to see that the value of the land was correctly assessed. To arrive at a proper opinion in this matter the Revenue was requested to see the land as it was obviously inexpedient to throw such heavy responsibility on the shoulders of the local Panchayet. This direction of the Govt was not complied with by him, and the Govt are therefore not in a satisfactory position to act. The payment of the Compensation to Naraganj is quite valid in law and the Govt have no hesitation to authorize the same. But, regarding the amount of compensation the Govt are not disposed to shift the responsibility of ascertaining whether the

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

hundred Rupees is proper or not from the Revenue Dept. He is directed to deal with the matter on his own responsibility and report the result of his action to Govt. Govt express their regret that this matter should have been dragged on so long without proper settlement

9. The marginally noted papers are forwarded for reference & return

By order
[illegible]
Secretary.

No

letter No X
410 dt 19-10-07 from the Rev Commr Dindigul
R 2312-dt 29-11-07 to the same
537 dt 3-12-07 from the same
R 2706 dt 18-12-07 to the same.
Petition from Kannappa dt 29-11-07 with enclosure
Reminder petition 5 from the same
No petn 1510 dt 14-2-02 to the Rev Commr
No 22 dt 9-7-02 from the same
G.O. No R 1810/R 787/795 dt 23-12-03
No 3049 dt 4-12-04 from the Rev Commr with enclosure
G.O. No R 4195/LR 87-05 dt 15-11-04.
No R 9342 dt 10-1-08 to the Rev Commr
No 7812 dt 1-3-06 from the same

1/3/06

Sent 1/3/06

(38)

No. 643 L.R. 9/8.7.50

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 23rd December 1907. 190

4 Jan 1908

FROM

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR ESQ., B. A., B. L.

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue *Departments.*

SIR,

In returning the records received with your docket No. R. 13093/L.R. 87-05-57 dated 21st March 1906, in the matter of the payment of compensation to Narayani for land survey No. 34/1 of Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, acquired and given over to the Madras Government, I have the honor to state that this office order No. 12845 dated 2nd May 1907 (Copy herewith attached) explains fully the facts of the case. It will be seen therefrom that in addition to his being the purchaser of the land in a Revenue Sale, the petitioner Narayani claimed the compensation amount as the heir of the original Khatedar Sanjeevaiya and his brother Venkatappa (both deceased). He was asked to substantiate this claim by producing a certificate of heirship in regard to the estates of Sanjeevaiya and his brother which he has accordingly produced. Apart from the question of the validity of the Revenue Sale, as it has now been established by a competent authority that Narayani is entitled to the amount of compensation as the heir of the original Khatedar there seems to be no other alternative but to pay the full amount to the petitioner.

As regards the propriety of the valuation of the land in question at Rs. 660, I beg to state that I fully agree

Rev. Comr
Form 12.

190 .

From

A. RUNGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner,

in Mysore.

3499
Rev

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue *Department.*

4687-95-53

Dated 23rd

Despatched 4th December 1907.

Received [illegible]

No. 6843

Enclosure No. [illegible]

Returning the records ~~with~~ in the matter of the payment of compensation to Narayani of Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, and giving opinion in the matter.

Young & Co., Printers, Bangalore, 2,000—25-11-07

agree with the opinion expressed by my predecessor, in para 2 of this office letterNo. 3049 dated 7th October 1904 that we must abide by the valuation originally fixed by a competent panchayat and the Local Amildar Mr. H.R.Srinivasa Rao and approved of by experienced officerslike Messrs. M. Narain Rao, K.R. Sreenivasa Iyengar, and C.Sheshadri Iyengar. All the other lands acquired in the village at the time were similarly valued and the compensation amount at that rate (Rs. 300 per acre of wet and Rs. 150 per acre of dry land) has already been paid to the occupants thereof.

The vernacular records bearing on the subject together with the heirship certificate produced by the petitioner are herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

H.N.R.

Order of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:-

Correspondence ending with docket No. 338 dated 5th December 1906 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur -- District, submitting further information regarding the payment of compensation for survey No. 24/1 of Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, which was acquired on behalf of and made over to the Madras Government.

2. Petitions ending with dated 10th November 1906 presented to Government by Karupakal Naranaiya urging his claim to the compensation amount fixed for the above land.

Facts of the Case:-

The Madras Government having requested the Mysore -- Government to acquire for them certain lands in Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, for the improvement of the Narasapuram Channel in the Hindupur Taluk, the Government in their Notification No. 6993/R.F. 87-95 dated 3rd Februar 1897 declared that the said lands, of which survey No. 24/1 was one, were required for a public purpose and authorised the Deputy Commissioner to take order for the acquisition of the same. As it was ascertained that the Khatedars were unable to appear in person before the Deputy Commissioner through old age or otherwise, the Amildar was himself asked, contrary to the provisions of the Land Acquisition Regulation, to issue notices to the persons concerned, to fix the compensation amount due for the lands and to submit a report with the deposition of the Khatedars. The compensation was fixed by a panchayat in the presence of the Amildar, at Rs. 300 per acre of wet land and Sanjeeva the occupant of survey No. 24/1, along with others agreed to part with their lands at the above rate of compensation.

Again, in their No. 4134/R.F. 87-95 dated 10th November 1897, the Government requested the Deputy Commissioner to take early steps for the acquisition and transfer to the Collector of Anantapur, of the lands wanted by the Madras

Maras Government. The Amildar was then asked on 12th Nove ember 1897 to make arrangements for the immediate acquisition of the lands and for the delivery to the possession of the Collector of Anantapur. He was also asked to report if the Khatedars were willing to accept reasonable price for their lands or else to take steps for acquiring the same under the rules. The Amildar thereupon directed the Shekdar on 24th November 1897, at once, deliver possession of the lands to the Tahasildar of Hindupur who had been asked to make arrangements for taking possession of the same and also issued a notice to the Khatedars, on the same date, informing them of the direction given to the Sheikdar prohibiting them from entering upon the land without the per-mission of the Madras Government and intimating that the lands would be deducted from their Khata from that year & that compensation at the rate agreed to by them would be paid soon after its sanction. But as the Madras Government failed to take immediate possession of the lands, they continued to be in the enjoyment of the Khatedars till they were finally taken over by the Madras Government on 20th October 1900.

Meanwhile the assessment on survey No. 24/1 (wet 2 A- G in extent and assessed at Rs. 6-7-6) for the year 1898 99 having fallen into arrears, the land after the usual ormalities sold by the Shekdar on 23rd May 1899 and knocked own to the petitioner Naranaiya for Rs. 3-4-0, the esti-ated value being only Rs. 2 and the sale was confirmed by he Assistant Commissioner on 27th June 1899.

In 1901 when the Deputy Commissioner personally attend ed to the payment of compensation to the persons concerned or the lands made over to the Madras Government, he found hat survey No. 24/1 in question which had been valued at s. 660 in 1897, had at the time of Revenue default in 1899 alued at only Rs. 2 and knocked down to Naranaiya for Rs. -440 and that the former valuation was not brought to the

(42)

G.R.S. 28]

File No. ~~L R 95~~

Serial No. 59

LR 29-95-59

Diary No. of letter replied to 3499

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(L R)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 11/2/1908

Signed by Mr P. E. D'Souza

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 6845 of the 4th Dec January 1908 from the Resident in Mysore forwarding a copy of the order passed by him regarding the payment

ORDER PASSED.

of compensation to the Aragaon of Virupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk for the Sur. No. 24/1 acquired for the Madras Govt and stating that there seems other circumstances

SUBJECT

GPB—7,000—1-3-07

Copied LR 7/2.

whether instead of the forgoing settle-
ment Government could not acquire
the right to use and repair the channel
by payment of an annual rent.

"True Extract" (67)
"Signed" E. Gibson
Secretary to Government.

Resolution.

Communicated to the Collector of
Anantapur who is requested to submit
the reports called for by Government.

/True Copy and Extract/
"Signed" L. D. Swamikannu
For Secretary

"True Copy"
"Signed"
J. Williams
For Collector.

"Copy"
M. Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Cuddapah District.

for (83) the repair of the breach in the Naracahúr channel which flows through the lands under reference. No objection was raised to the alienation of these numbers as they were un-occupied Government waste. The proposed rectification of the boundary by the transfer of all the Survey Nos: on the British side of the channel to the Madras Government involves the acquisition of occupied wet lands covering an area of 18 acres and 23 guntas and 1 A 1 G - 37 of dry land. The holders of these lands refuse to part with them for any price, as will be seen from their petition marked A in the accompanying file of vernacular papers. They are afraid that the transfer of the lands to the Madras Government would result in their being dis-possessed of their property on which they have spent a deal of labor and money and ascribe the proposal

to the ill-feeling which has long existed between them and the neighbouring ryots of Narasapur of the Hindupura Taluk. If they were deprived of the lands being Continued in their occupancy under existing arrangements as to tenure, they would probably withdraw their objection.

3. There is no doubt that the boundary would be simplified, if the present bed of the Channel is not changed. But if the course of the Channel be diverted, portions of the numbers under reference would be left on the Mysore side, and the object of rectifying the boundary would be defeated.

4. I submit herewith a list of the lands required by the Madras Government. The annual revenue derivable therefrom is Rupees 93 - 6 inclusive of the Local and Irrigation Cesses. The capitalized value at 3 per cent would amount to Rupees 3,100 — —.

portion of letter No. 34 dated D.A.O.
24th November 1894 from the
(95) Chief Secretary to the Govt
(95) Government, a Copy of which
was forwarded to you with my
predecessors letter No. 890 of the 3rd
December 1894. and to suggest
your moving the Resident of
Tuy care in the matter as therein
suggested. —

I have &c
(signed) Tu. Narain Rao
Offg: Deputy Commissioner

C. No. 1580-94
G.C.

Amantapur Collectors Office
Dated 18th February 1896.

From
T. A. Nicholson Esqr
Collector of
Amantapur.

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Timurin District

Sir,

I have the honor to request
that you will be good enough to
return the rough Sketch show-
ing the lands proposed to be

(48)

required for repairs to the Varasapuram Channel, as the same has not been returned with your letter No. Miscellaneous 1054 dated 5th February 1896 as desired in my letter R.C. No. 1580 9/94 dated 31st January 1896. —

I have &c.

(Signed) I. Ranga Rao.
for Collector

Mis No. 1165/1 Deputy Commr's Office
Tinnevelly District.
Dated 6th March 1896.

To, The Collector of
Arcanatapur.

Sir,

As requisitioned in your letter No. 1580 of the 18th February last, I have the honor to return herewith the rough sketch showing the Inyeon lands proposed to be required for

Draft as corrected

To.

The First Assistant
to the Resident in
Mysore.

Dated 3.2.97

No 6091 In 1897 file

Sir,

Adverting to ~~my~~ cor-
- respondence ending with
your reminder ~~letter~~ No (Camp) 8 of
the 30th December 1896 re-
- garding the proposal of
the Madras Govt to acquire
from the Dunbar, 32.24
acres of land assessed at
Rs. 83/- of the Virupasandra
village, Pavagada Taluk,
situated on the British
side of the Narsapur channel drawn
from the Puniglimajor

2nd
tioned in the Resident
ent's letter Paper No 7,
3rd 9 as mentioned
Memo's letter (Paper No 9)
letter read from
Resident - paper
, Govt No 26 &
ibed - In the
ft the papers given
the Dy Com are
Dy Com
Wood 4/2/97

Taluk in the Hindupur Taluk,
absolutely, on payment
of the capitalised value
of the revenues derived from (99)
them by the Dunbar & to
treat this transaction as
a mere rectification of
frontier, I am directed
to state that the Dunbar
has no objection to make
over the lands to the Madras
Govt for use on condition
of that Govt paying
to the Dunbar,

(1st) the capitalized value
of the present assessment
at thirty years' pur-
-chase, and

(2nd) the compensation
required to be paid
to the present Pattadars
as settled hereafter under
the Land Acquisition
Regulation VII of 1894
as in force in Mysore

Name of Khatedar.		Extent			Total assessment of the land.	Remarks.
		Dry A - Gt.	Wet. A - Gt.	Total A - Gt.	Rs. As.	
Komti Sanjeevappa bin Chitambrappa	24/1 ✓	"	2—2	2—8	5—12—0	
Divakarappa bin Sanjeevanaya	26/1	"	1—11	1—18	4—8—0	
Shanbogue Sanjeevappa	26/2	"	1—36	1—36	6—8—0	
Siddegowda	27 ✓	1—37	2—3	4—12	5—0—0	
V. Linigana Chowda	40/1 ✓	"	1—23	1—27	6—4—0	
V. Atchakka Kom Yerroni	40/2 ✓	"	"—22	"—22	2—8—0	
Earigana Dasiga	40/3 ✓	"	" 12	"—12	1—4—0	
Dogrammanahalli Narsimha	40/4 ✓	"	"—39	"—39	4—0—0	
V. Chickaveera Chowdiga	41/1	"	1—32	1—32	7—0—0	
Nalladhaligana Nansa Kom Chowdiga	41/2	"	1—22	1—22	6—0—0	
Rungachar	41/3	"	1—8	1—8	4—12—0	@ The extent of the rab comprised in the total extent is 3 guntas.
Dasiga bin Thimma	42/1 ✓	"	1—23	1—26	6—0—0	
S. Narsimha	42/2 ✓	"	1—30	1—30	7—0—0	
		1—37	18—23	@21—12	66—8—0	

(3)

Diary no 2849
R. 1757

3389.
P. 439

L.R. 87-7-15-86.

No. 4386
& no 8

(9) 41

FROM

LR 2995-8

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

(106)

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 19th October 1897.

SIR,

(16)

With reference to your letter No 6991--R.F.87.95 dated the 3rd February 1897, relative to the proposed acquisition of certain fields of the Virupas--amudram village situated on the British side of the Narasapuram Channel, I am directed to state that the Madras Government agree to pay (1) the Capitalized value of the present assessment on the lands at thirty years purchase, and also (2) the compensation to the present holders which may hereafter be decreed to be payable to them under the Mysore Land Acquisition Regulation.

2. But as the Durbar's objection to the proposed rectification of frontier, the Madras Government consider it unnecessary to acquire all the fields situated on the British side of the Channel, and say that it will be sufficient to acquire the marginally noted five fields through or by which alone the Channel flows. These fields are said to cover an area of 17 acres and 39 guntas and bear an assessment of Rs 46/-. It has been ascertained that the acquisition of the fields in question

24/1, 27, 4 42.

42

question by the Madras Government involves no change of Sovereignty.

3. I am accordingly to request that steps may be kindly taken to transfer the fields now specified to the possession of the Collector of Anantapur as early as praticable.

(107)

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

K. D. Erskine Captain,

First Assistant Resident.

42

question by the Madras Government involves no change of Sovereignty.

3. I am accordingly to request that steps may be kindly taken to transfer the fields now specified to the possession of the Collector of Anantapur as early as praticable.

(107)

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

K. D. Erskine Captain,

First Assistant Resident.

Enclosure to Leg 12

...dra Village, Pungaon Taluk required by the Madra...

...Hindupur Taluk, Anantapur District

(118)

Total annual Revenue			Amount of Compensation payable to exceptionees under the Rayalseema Rent Remission Act for one year of Court Court Fees Rules 15% for dry Land			Capitalized value of Present Annual Revenue at 30 years purchase			Extra Amount to be paid as Court Fee 9 times 10.		
8			9			10			11		
6	7	6	660	0	0	194	1	0	854	1	0
5	9	9	956	4	0	168	4	6	1124	8	6
7	-	6	502	8	0	210	15	0	713	7	0
2	18	0	165	0	0	84	6	0	249	6	0
1	6	6	90	0	0	42	3	0	132	3	0
4	8	0	292	8	0	135	0	0	427	8	0
6	12	0	495	0	0	202	8	0	697	8	0
7	14	0	525	0	0	236	4	0	761	4	0
9	4	3	0	0	0	277	15	6	277	15	6
51	11	6	3686	4	0	1551	9	0	5237	13	0

Deputy Commissioner

A.R. 87-95
LL 13.

62

(18)

(26)

LR
2095-13

To.

The First Assistant
to the Resident
in Mysore.

Dated 30.3.98
30.
No 9409 Sm/
R.Y. 87-95

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no. 918 & 24th Feb: 1898 enquiring whether necessary steps have been taken for the transfer to the Collector of Anantapur on behalf of the Madras Govt. of the marginally noted five fields of the Vimpasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, situated on the British side of the Narasapur channel in the Hindupur Taluk, on the terms proposed by the

Mr Box 4
29/3/98

+ P no 21.

extent.
A G
2-8
4-12
3-20. } Occupied.
3-16.
4-23 Govt-waste
17-39

Durbar and agreed to by the Madras Govt., I am directed to state that it is reported by the Dy Commr. of the Tumkur District that on the 24th Novr last the Amildar of the Pavagada Taluk wrote to the ~~Amildar~~ Tahsildar of Hindupur asking him to take delivery of the five fields above referred to, and also intimated the fact to the Collector of Anantapur, but that the British authorities not having moved in the matter, the lands still remain in the occupancy of the Mysore Ryots.

2. The Statement forwarded herewith gives the particulars of the amount of compensation payable for the occupied lands (viz: 24/1, 27 40 & 42) and also the capitalized value of those lands as well as of S.No. 24/2 (Govt waste). The

6304 Pol 1563. Ex. 87 1895
R. 3337 ad 16. 58

No. 1497
19.96

From

The FIRST ASSISTANT to the RESIDENT in MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, 28th March 1900

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No.2143 dated the 17th May 1899, regarding the acquisition by the British Government of certain fields of Virupasamudram village, Pavaguda taluk, Chitaldrug District, in connection with the Narasapuram channel in the Hindupur taluk, Anantapur district.

2. The suggestions made by the Durbar in your letter No.9409-R.F.87-95 dated the 30th March 1898, has received the careful consideration of the Government of Madras; but His Excellency the Governor in Council is for various reasons desirous of adhering to the view expressed in Captain Erskine's letter No.4386 dated the 19th October 1897, that all the five fields referred to should be acquired. I am therefore to ask you to be so good as to arrange for the transfer of the fields in question to the Collector of Anantapur who has been instructed to take possession of them from the Mysore authorities.

3. It is to be observed that the acquisition when completed

59

completed will extiguish all rights of the Mysore ryots to irrigation from the Narasapuram channel.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(125)

First Assistant Resident.

LR. 87 795-96
Serial 63
Do
Bangalore
4/5/00.

LR
29-95

(189) (31)

My dear Mr: Narain Rao

Please see the Correspondence
ending with this office docket
No: 7367 dated the 14th April 96
R. 787-95
re the transfer to the
Madras Government of
certain lands belonging to
the Virupasandra Village
of the Pavagada Taluk. How
does the matter now stand?
Kindly expedite your reply.
In your letter No: 872 dated
the 22nd March 1878, you said
that Compensation had been
calculated at Rs 300 per acre
of wet land and at Rs 150
per acre of dry land. The
only occupied dry land in
question is an extent of
2 acres and 9 guntas included

in

in Survey No 27 and bears the very low assessment of annas 4 i.e less than 2 annas an acre. Could such inferior land be really worth Rs 150 an acre? I ask this question as the Honble. Mr. Winterbotham member of the Madras Council expressed his surprise at this valuation when the Dewan and myself saw him at Coolly the other day. The matter seems to require further looking into

(130)

Y. V Swiny

Sd. C.S.

"Copy"

(140)

irrigation rights in those lands should be exchanged), the acquisition of the lands would become necessary in the same way as that of the other lands above referred to.

2. The accompanying Statement gives the particulars of the amount of compensation payable for the occupied lands (Nos. 24/1, 27, 40, 42, 26 & 41), and also the capitalized value of those lands as well as of Survey Nos. 24/2 & 25 (Govt. waste). The compensation for wet land has as already stated, been fixed at Rs 300/- per acre and for dry land at Rs 150/- per acre. The total amount thus payable comes to Rs 8803-2-0.

I am therefore to request that the Govt of Madras for the payment of the above amount to the Durbar and to state that on receipt of the amount in question steps will be taken ~~for effecting~~ to transfer the lands ~~referred to~~ in question, to the Collector of Ananthapur.

3. A sketch showing the position of the lands under reference is enclosed.

I have &c.

Secretary

17-5-00.

(18)

L.R. 87/95
11.9.1900-79

Dear Sir —

Please refer to the correspondence ending with my letter to you No. 8300 dated the 30th May last. The orders of the Madras Govt on the several points mentioned therein have not yet been Communicated to the Dunbar nor has any payment of compensation been made. I have now received a telegram as follows from the Dy Comm. of Tinnevelly :—

" Kindapur Tahsildar asks Pavagada Amildar for delivery of five Nos under Narsapur channel. Compensation not paid yet. Wire orders

LR. 87 of 95 (44)
SC. 24
94

(167)

Here under

(Endorsement No 132
dated 14th March 1901 fr
the 1st Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore,
forwarding a copy of Lr
No 142 dated the 26th Febr
1901 from the Secretary
to the Govt of Madras
re' the acquisition by
the British Govt of certain
fields of Veerapasandra
village in the Bangarpet
Taluk in connection
with the repair of the
Narsapuram channel
in the Mandur Tank

Copies of the foregoing
communications are
forwarded to the Dy
Comr Tumkur Dt
for early necessary action
and report.

By ord

20/3/01
Fr Secretary

Recd 20.3.01
Copies 50-

LR
91-95-27

7502
487-95 dy 20/3/01
W

With reference to Corr:
ding with his letter
No. 770 dy 18.2.01

for Delivery".

(149)

I am unable to say either "ye
"no" to this in the absence of defi
information as to the decision of th
Madras Govt. May I request
favor of your advice as to whethe
I may say "Madras Govt's decis
our reference made by Dunbar is
awaited. Defer delivery of proces
until further orders".!

Yours truly
(Signed) C Saminadaiyar

H. R. C. Dobbs Esqr. I.C.S
First Asstt. Resident.

(151)

I.R. 87 795-96

8

THE RESIDENT MYSORE

Camp
~~BANGALORE.~~

Sept. 13th 1900

Dear Sir

Your Demi official letter of the 11th. Your letter No. 8500 - R. 789.93 dated the 30th May 1900 regarding the transfer of certain fields of Veerapasandram Village in Mysore in connection with the Narasapuram Channel in Anantapur was forwarded to the Government of Madras on the 18th June. No reply has yet come.

81

(158)

I have telegraphed to obtain
command and will let you
know the result. Meanwhile
You can inform the Deputy
Commissioner of Guntur as
You propose.

Yours sincerely

[illegible]

C. Srinivasaiengar Esquire
Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore.

LR. 87 of 95
Sl. 21

Draft for appl

84

(38)

Here enter Memo No.

(155) 5026 dated 29th September 1900 from the 1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, forwarding copy of a telegram from the Govt of Madras to the effect that the 5 fields in the Venkatasandram village, Pavagada Taluk, required by that Govt in connection with the repair of the Narasapuram channel in the Hindupur Taluk, may be transferred to the Hindupur Tahsildar -

LR 29-95-21

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bellary, in continuation of this office telegram of 15th Ultimo, & with a request that the lands in question may be made over to the Tahsildar of Hindupur at once, and a report submitted to this office at a very early date.

By Order

Secretary

1/10/00

For Secretary

P. 30.11.00

P 2915
R 7-87 of 95
1.10.1900

158

the owners of the four occupied numbers now transferred and that the Superintendent of Revenue Survey may be instructed to note this transfer in the map and akarabund of Virupasamudra Village Pavagada Taluk.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
M Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner

101

188 (77)

LR. 87 & 95-9
Lr. 31.

which may be at on en

TREASURY ACCOUNT **DEPARTMENT**

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOV

Bangalore, 21st May 1901. 189

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq-,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue *Depts*

Sir,

Referring to your memo No.9191 RF87-95 dated 14th. Ins forwarding copy of letter No.2349 dated 10th Idem, from th Assistant to the Resident in Mysore re Compensation for fi acquired by the Madras Government in the Virupasaundram vil Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District, I have the honor to state in the case of lands acquired by the S.M.Ry. and the Bombay and ments, it was usual for this Office to receive specific instruc from you as to the adjustment of the cost &c, for necessary being taken (vide {No 912 dated 28th Oct 99 from Secy for Railways; Govt Proc No 225-4-R 106 dt 10.4.99 & Proc No 4609-62 R&B dt 29.11.12.00.} but in this ins I find that no such instructions were received and in the ab of such instructions either from you or from the Deputy Comm sioner, Tumkur, the sum of Rs.5237-13-0 which had been included the Accountant General's demand as a credit from the Public Department, Madras, was erroneously credited in the Treasury a to Public Works Remittances in the month of March 1901. This dit will now be cancelled and the Deputy Commissioner, Treasu Department, will be instructed to place this amount at the di of Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, as well as a further credit of Rs.3565-0-0 which has been afforded in the Accountant Genera demand for February 1901, on account of cost of three additi

(181)

Treasury Accounts to Public Works Remittances in the month of March 1901, & that the Dyecour, Treasury Department, Tumkur will be instructed to place this amount at the disposal of the Dy. Commr, Tumkur as well as a further credit of Rs 3565-0-0 which has been affected in the Accountant General's Account demand for February 1901, on account of cost of three additional fields acquired by the Madras Govt in the same village.

Order thereon: —

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt. is requested to place at once at the disposal of the Dy.

x subd
The amount to be paid by the Madras Govt is Rs 3565-5-0.

to 9624-5 dt 3/6/01
R.T. 87-95

Dy. Comr of Tumkur, both the amounts referred to above. (182)

2. The Dy. Comr, Tumkur Dt, is requested to take the necessary steps to at once transfer the three additional fields S. Nos 25, 26 & 41, referred to above, to the Collector of Ananthapur as already directed in this office No 7502 RF 87-95 dated 20th March 1901, and to report the fact of this having done so, to Govt, for communication to the Residency. The Compensation for the 5 fields originally assigned should also be paid out of the amount, Kg. Rs 57-13-0, now adjusted in the Treasury Accounts by the Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

× & No 44

Issue to Govt
2/6/01

1/6/1901

31/5/01

Secretary

Do. The Dy Comr Tumkur
The Comptroller,

Dy. Comr of Tumkur, both the amounts referred to above. (182)

2. The Dy. Comr, Tumkur Dt, is requested to take the necessary steps to at once transfer the three additional fields S. Nos 25, 26 & 41, referred to above, to the Collector of Ananthapur as already directed in this office No 7502 RF 87-95 dated 20th March 1901, and to report the fact of this having done so, to Govt, for communication to the Residency. The Compensation for the 5 fields originally assigned should also be paid out of the amount, Kg. Rs 57-13-0, now adjusted in the Treasury Accounts by the Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

× & No 44

Issue to Govt
2/6/01

1/6/1901

31/5/01

Secretary

Do. The Dy Comr Tumkur
The Comptroller,

1901, and that this amount as well as a further credit of Rs 3,565-0-0 (23) afforded in the Accountant General's demand for February 1901, on account of the 3 additional fields in the same village required by the Madras Government as stated in your endorsement No. 1321 (43) dated the 14th March 1901, will be placed at the disposal of the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur for payment of Compensation to the parties Concerned. While stating that the above explanation is

109

41

...rection with
...pair of the Kasa
...channel in
...dupur Taluk
...made over to
Sankaranarayana
Iyer, Revenue Inspector
of the Kasba Range,
Hindupur Taluk on the
28th June 1901.

119

Anantapur District,

I have the honour

Issues
17/7

Issues
8/7

N
15/7/01

Secretary.

MM

(42)

- full amount of compensation fixed for the land to the said Narayana and that he is of opinion that the valuation of the land originally fixed by Rs 660/- by a competent panchayet and the local Amildar Mr. K. Srinivasa Rao and approved of by experienced officers like Messrs M. Narainarao, K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar & Seshadri Iyengar must be treated as correct.

Order thereon :- No. 4975/8/11/2/47.8

Govt agree with the Rev. Comr and direct that the full amount of compensation be paid to Narayana aforesaid. The Rev. Comr is requested to take some action in the matter of the Land Revenue Officials

Secretary

The Rev. Comr will return the Record and the Succession Certificate.

11/10/47